



TULSA PRESERVATION COMMISSION

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday, May 28, 2019, 4:30 P.M.
City Hall @ One Technology Center, 175 East 2nd Street
10th Floor - North Conference Room

A. Opening Matters

1. Call to Order and Verification of Quorum

Commissioner Schoell called the Regular Meeting to order at 4:30 P.M.

Members Present

David Schoell, Chair**
Peter Grant, Vice-Chair
Joy Jones, Secretary*
Holly Becker
Susan McKee
Katelyn Parker
Ted Reeds
Robert Shears
Mary Lee Townsend
Jim Turner

Members Absent

Chris Bumgarner

* Late Arrival

**Early Departure

Staff Present

Jed Porter, Amber Wagoner, Audrey Blank

Others Present

Rebecca Paris, James Bullard, Rebecca Bullard, Wayne Campbell

2. Approval of Minutes – Regular Meeting, May 9, 2019

Commissioner Schoell made a motion to approve the Minutes. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Grant and was approved by majority.

Vote: Minutes – Regular Meeting, May 9, 2019

<u>In Favor</u>	<u>Opposed</u>	<u>Abstaining</u>	<u>Not Present</u>
Schoell		Becker	Bumgarner
Grant		Turner	
Jones			
McKee			
Parker			
Reeds			
Shears			
Townsend			

3. Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest
No Conflicts of Interest were disclosed.

B. Actionable Items

1. **HP-0098-2019 / 1308 E. 20th St. (Swan Lake)**

Historic Preservation Permit Subcommittee Review Dates: May 2, 2019, May 21, 2019

Applicants: Rebecca and Nathan D. Paris

Proposal:

1. Construction of porch

Staff presented its report, stating that the applicants had responded readily to the requests by the Historic Preservation Permit Subcommittee. Commissioner Turner noted that the subcommittee had recommended the exposure of the beam above the columns and the reduction of the space between the columns. Commissioner Parker requested clarification that the base of the porch would not be removed, and Commissioner Grant confirmed that the base of the porch would not be removed.

As there was no further discussion, Commissioner Reeds made a motion to approve the application. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Turner and approved unanimously.

Vote: 1308 E. 20th St. (Swan Lake)

<u>In Favor</u>	<u>Opposed</u>	<u>Abstaining</u>	<u>Not Present</u>
1. Schoell			Bumgarner
2. Grant			
3. Becker			
4. Jones			
5. McKee			
6. Parker			
7. Reeds			
8. Shears			
9. Townsend			
10. Turner			

2. **HP-0104-2019 / 1212 E. 18th St. (North Maple Ridge)**
Historic Preservation Permit Subcommittee Review Date: May 21, 2019
 Applicant: Wayne Campbell
 Proposal:
 1. Modification of fascia and soffit

Staff presented its report, stating that the applicant had responded readily to the requests by the Historic Preservation Permit Subcommittee, including production of mock-ups of the proposed modification. The applicant stated that, along with the mock-ups presented for review during the Regular Meeting, they had pinned a mock-up to the facade for an additional description. The applicant added that there are no decorative elements, as masonry does not extend beyond the frieze. Commissioner Parker inquired whether the residence had gutters and was informed that gutters were only present on the lower section of the roof. Commissioner Parker then requested more information about the puncture in the soffit, and the applicant stated that it could have been inserted for ventilation. Commissioner Grant stated that the residence could have had additional gutters. Commissioner Schoell inquired whether cedar had been considered for the entire assembly and was informed that the expense of the material was a factor.

As there was no further discussion, Commissioner Turner made a motion to approve the application. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Reeds and approved unanimously.

Vote: 1212 E. 18th St. (North Maple Ridge)

<u>In Favor</u>	<u>Opposed</u>	<u>Abstaining</u>	<u>Not Present</u>
1. Schoell			Bumgarner
2. Grant			
3. Becker			
4. Jones			
5. McKee			
6. Parker			
7. Reeds			
8. Shears			
9. Townsend			
10. Turner			

3. **HP-0107-2019 / 1231 E. 18th St. (North Maple Ridge)**
Historic Preservation Permit Subcommittee Review Date: May 21, 2019
 Applicants: James R. and Rebecca D. Bullard
 Proposal:
 1. Construction of fence
 2. Installation of wrought-iron gate and rail on porch
 3. Installation of landscape lights
 4. Installation of lanterns on pillars

Staff presented its report, stating that the applicant had provided the additional documentation requested by the Historic Preservation Permit Subcommittee. Commissioner Grant noted that the subcommittee was uncertain about its authority to review the proposal for landscape lighting. Commissioner Townsend requested clarification about the wrought-iron gate and rail on the porch, particularly whether the gate and rail were appropriate. Commissioner Parker stated they were not but added that she understood the selection. Commissioner Parker then inquired if the applicant had contacted the Permit Center about the height of eight feet (8'-0") of the gate. The applicant responded that the staff of the Permit Center had indicated that, because the fence would be located in the side yard, the fence and gate could be eight feet (8'-0") in height. Commissioners Parker and Shears commented that the fence seemed too high, and Commissioner Parker inquired whether the applicant would consider a fence six feet (6'-0") in height. The applicant indicated preference for a height of eight feet (8'-0"). Commissioner Grant noted that a fence six feet (6'-0") in height would not provide adequate security on the porch. Commissioner Schoell noted that the applicant stated the fence would be wrought-iron, although the Product Data identified the material as aluminum. The applicant stated that the rail and gate were assumed to be wrought-iron but were determined to be aluminum upon consultation with the contractor. Commissioner Schoell inquired about the height of the gate and was informed that the gate would be four feet (4'-0") in height. Commissioner Grant stated that, during the review by the subcommittee, preference for the alignment of the rail with the sill of the adjacent window was expressed. The applicant commented that only a rail and gate with a height of four feet (4'-0") were available from this manufacturer. Commissioner Turner observed that the proposal would be approved but not with this height. Commissioner Shears inquired about the location of the circuits for the lanterns and was informed that the conduits would not be visible. Commissioner Schoell departed.

As there was no further discussion, Commissioner Townsend made a motion to approve Item 1 of the application. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Grant and approved by majority.

Vote: 1231 E. 18th St. (North Maple Ridge)

<u>In Favor</u>	<u>Opposed</u>	<u>Abstaining</u>	<u>Not Present</u>
1. Grant	McKee		Bumgarner
2. Becker	Parker		
3. Jones	Shears		
4. Reeds			
5. Townsend			
6. Turner			

Commissioner Grant made a motion to approve Item 2 of the application with the condition that the height of the rail not exceed thirty-six inches (36") from the floor of the porch. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Reeds and approved by majority.

Vote: 1231 E. 18th St. (North Maple Ridge)

<u>In Favor</u>	<u>Opposed</u>	<u>Abstaining</u>	<u>Not Present</u>
1. Grant	McKee		Bumgarner
2. Becker	Parker		
3. Jones			
4. Reeds			
5. Shears			
6. Townsend			
7. Turner			

Commissioner Townsend made a motion to approve Items 3 and 4 of the application. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Shears and approved unanimously.

Vote: 1231 E. 18th St. (North Maple Ridge)

<u>In Favor</u>	<u>Opposed</u>	<u>Abstaining</u>	<u>Not Present</u>
1. Grant			Bumgarner
2. Becker			
3. Jones			
4. McKee			
5. Parker			
6. Reeds			
7. Shears			
8. Townsend			
9. Turner			

4. HP-0102-2019 / 1228 E. 18th St. (North Maple Ridge)

Applicant: Chace W. Daley

Proposal:

1. Replacement of door

Work completed without an Historic Preservation Permit

Staff presented its report, noting that the door previously installed is no longer available and cannot therefore be considered for restoration. Commissioner Parker noted that the door was obviously the original door and that, since the door is no longer available, the replacement needs to replicate the original door. Commissioner Grant stated that the question for the commission is whether the current door is acceptable. Commissioner Reeds inquired whether the applicant attempted to find a door like the original door, and the applicant responded that the selection of the current door was intentional, as he did not want glass panes on the door for reasons of safety and security. Commissioner Townsend stated that the Unified Design Guidelines are specific about the match, and Commissioner Turner noted that the guidelines note the match of the architectural style, not the door exactly. Commissioner McKee inquired whether the door was architecturally appropriate, and Commissioner Parker stated it was not. Commissioner Reeds agreed, stating the current door does not fit the style of the residence.

As there was no further discussion, Commissioner Reeds made a motion to deny the application. The motion was seconded by Commissioner McKee and approved by majority.

Vote: 1228 E. 18th St. (North Maple Ridge)

<u>In Favor</u>	<u>Opposed</u>	<u>Abstaining</u>	<u>Not Present</u>
1. Grant	Townsend		Bumgarner
2. Becker			
3. Jones			
4. McKee			
5. Parker			
6. Reeds			
7. Shears			
8. Turner			

- C. Reports
 - 1. Chair Report
None
 - 2. Staff Report
None

- D. New Business
None

- E. Announcements and Future Agenda Items
None

- F. Public Comment
None

- G. Adjournment
Commissioner Grant adjourned the Regular Meeting at 5:25 P.M.